• • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/887,738	ROTHENBERGER, HELMUT
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Terrence R. Till	1744
All Participants:	Status of Application	:
(1) <u>Terrence R. Till</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>J. Crawford</u> .	(4)	,
Date of Interview: 1 December 2003	Time: <u>2:45pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 21-44		
Prior art documents discussed:		
MacLeod		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN The specification was amended to provide basis for the examin was made to show the coupling, recited in claim 21	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT ner's amendment to the drawin	WAS DISCUSSED: ogs. The amendment to the drawings
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	the examiner will provide a e record of the substance of	of the interview, since the interview
A		
Jennertll _		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	int/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)